## Case Report:

# Custom made modified distal jet appliance – an effective and economic appliance.

## Dr.Falguni Mehta<sup>1</sup>, Dr.Renuka Patel<sup>2</sup>, Dr.Harshik Parekh<sup>3</sup>, Dr.Manop Agrawal<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Head Of Department, Department of orthodontics, govt. Dental College and Hospital, Ahmedabad.
- <sup>2</sup>Assistant professor, Department of orthodontics, govt. Dental College and Hospital, Ahmedabad.
- <sup>3</sup>Tutor, Department of orthodontics, govt. Dental College and Hospital, Ahmedabad.
- <sup>4</sup> 3rd Year Post Graduate, Department of orthodontics, govt. Dental College and Hospital, Ahmedabad.

Corresponding author: Dr.Manop Agrawal

### **ABSTRACT**

For over a decade, various modified appliances have been described which are placed intraorally. They remain fixed temporarily to make a treatment successful independent of patient compliance. In the present article, one of these appliance is the "Custom made Modified Distal Jet Appliance" which can be an alternative to the commercially available Distal jet appliance, and is more economic, prepared easily in laboratory and effectively distalizing the molar bodily with no significant undesired movements.

Key words: Distal Jet Appliance, Molar distalization, NiTi coil spring

### INTRODUCTION

In the recent year non- extraction treatment approaches and non compliance therapies have become more popular in the correction of space discrepancies. Molar distalization in the maxillary arch is an important part of the therapeutic option in the everyday orthodontic practice and one of the conventional approaches for space gaining in the arches without patient compliance. However, it is done by using certain intraoral appliances. Among the aforementioned appliances, the distal jet, a lingual distalization appliance, is said to feature several distinct advantages.<sup>1,2</sup> The maxillary molars are distalized with less distal tipping and without the lingual movement that is typically seen with other distalizingmechanics, such as the Wilson modular technique, repelling magnets, 3,4 Jones jig, 5<sup>7</sup>and the pendulum etc. <sup>8,9,10</sup>The Custom made modified Distal Jet consists of a bilateral Bayonet and Directors and tube arrangement, with the tube embedded in an acrylic Nance button in the palate, supported by attachments on the first or second premolars. A bayonet wire is inserted into the lingual sheath of each first molar band and the free end is inserted into the tubes, much like a piston. Compressing the open coil spring generates a distally directed force. Ideally, they result in lines of force running close to the center of resistance of the molars. Anchorage is obtained from 1st bicuspids, 2nd bicuspids, short labial bow and Nance Button.

# Medworld-asia

**Dedicated for quality research Publications** 

# Bayonet and Director Lingual Sheath Transpalatal Connector

### APPLIANCE FABRICATION AND KEY ELEMENTS

Fig 1: Custom Made Modified Distal Jet Appliance and KeyElements.

**Lingual Sheaths:** Position with entry as close as possible to the center of resistance (CR) of molar. **Transpalatal Connector:** Made with 0.9 mm stainless steel wire, extend anteriorly to contact the middle of the cuspid or slightly beyond. Maintain a 1mm clearance from the palatefor acrylic.

**Bayonet and Directors:**Made with 0.7 mm stainles steel wire, parallel as close as possible, to the arch form at the level of the centers of resistance of the posterior teeth. When viewed from the occlusal aspect, the bayonet assembly (bayonets and bayonet directors) should be positionedtowards the palatal midline with a 5° offset away from the arch formto avoid buccal tipping of the molar during distalization.Incorporate the maximum tube

length and extend as anteriorly as possible, not crossing the connector.

**Begg's buccal tube**: Round Begg's buccal tube with 0.036 inch inner diameter and 6 mm length.

Nance Button: Extends anteriorly to the distal surface of lateral incisor and ends at the distal of the second bicuspid or deciduous second molar. It should be as smooth and thin as possible to provide maximum comfort.

**Short labial bow:**Made with 0.7mm stainless steel wire from lateral incisor to lateral incisor to prevent labial tipping of anteriors.

### NiTi open coil spring:

The precalibrated spring of about 180 gm of force should be used in mixed dentition, and the 240 gm of force springif second molars have erupted. (Table 1)

Table-1Spring A- 240gm							
Compression (mm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Maximum force	32	62	101	140	172	205	240
Minimum force	21	55	90	125	158	195	

### **STEP-BY-STEP PROCEDURE:**

- ➤ Bands are fabricated on 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> bicuspids and 1<sup>st</sup> molars (lingual sheath is attached with molar bands).
- > Impression is taken, bands are transferred to the impression and impression is poured.
- Transpalatal connector is formed on the premolar bands, Soldered and Repositioned on the model.
- Acrylic Nance button with Begg's buccal tube and short labial bow is prepared and polished.
- ➤ Insert Bayonet Bend and director in lingual sheath. Adjust and cut to length as necessary. Cut ends of the bayonets and directors, check for smooth finish and free sliding movement without friction.
- ➤ All elements follow natural anatomical contours, connect together passively, and do not impinge the soft tissues at any time.
- Anchorage is obtained from 1st bicuspids, 2nd bicuspids, short labial bow and Nance Button

### APPLIANCE PLACEMENT AND ACTIVATION

- ➤ Remove separators and seat the appliance completely, check for the passive fit of directors and bayonets adjust as necessary prior to cementation.
- Mix GI luting cement, load bands and cement the appliance as a single unit, in the customary manner.
- ➤ Open NiTi coil spring is placed in the horizontal arm of bayonet and director. Length of the coil spring is approximately same as the length of horizontal arm length of bayonet and director.
- > The appliance is activated initially after cementation and by complete compression of coil spring, approx 7 mm with force of 180 to 200 grams.



Fig: Pre & Post distilation appliences

- ➤ The case was treated with a Modified Custom Made Distal Jet appliance for a duration of 11 months and a bodily distilization of 10 mm were achieved on both the side with rate of 0.8 to1mm per month.
- > There was minimal loss of anchorage and distal tipping of molar as evaluated by radiograph, without mesiopalatal rotation of molar, bite opening, buccal flaring of posterior and no any worsening of profile.
- ➤ It is recommended to reactivate the appliance by fabricating new Bayonet and Directors with more horizontal length of director resulting in increased NiTi coil spring length to achieve desired force magnitude for further distalization

# AFTER MOLAR DISTALIZATION AND ALIGNMENT



Fig-5: Right side view Fig-6: Occlusal view Fig-7: Left side view

### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. *Carano A, Testa M*. The distal jet for upper molar distalization. J ClinOrthod. 1996;30:374–380.
- 2. *Carano A, Testa M, Siciliani G*. The lingual distalizer system. Eur J Orthod. 1996;18:445–448.
- 3. *Gianelly AA, Vaitas AS, Thomas WM, Berger DG*. Distalization of molars with repelling magnets. J ClinOrthod. 1988;22:40–44
- 4. *Bondemark L, Kurol J, Bernhold M.* Repelling magnets versus superelastic nickel-titanium coils in simultaneous distal movement of maxillary first and second molars. Angle Orthod. 1994; 64:189–198.
- Brickman CD, Sinha PK, Nanda RS. Evaluation of the Jones jig appliance for distal molar movement. Am J OrthodDentofacialOrthop. 2000;118:526– 534.
- Runge ME, Martin JT, Bukai F. Analysis of rapid maxillary molar distal movement without patient cooperation. Am J OrthodDentofacialOrthop. 1998;115:153–157.
- Gulati S, Kharbanda OP, Parkash H. Dental and skeletal changes after intraoral molar distalization with sectional jig assembly. Am J OrthodDentofacialOrthop. 1998;114:319–327.

- 8. *Ghosh J, Nanda RS*. Evaluation of an intraoral maxillary molar distalization technique. Am J OrthodDentofacialOrthop. 1996; 110:639–646.
- Chiu PP. A Comparison of Two Intraoral Molar Distalization Appliances:
   Distal Jet Versus Pendulum Appliance [unpublished master's thesis]. Ann Arbor, Mich: Department of Orthodontics, University of Michigan; 2001.
- 10. *Chaque's-Asensi J, Kalra V*. Effects of the pendulum appliance on the dentofacial complex. J ClinOrthod. 2001;35:254–257.
- 11. Aldo Carano and Sig. Mauro Testa. A Lingual Molar Distalizing Appliance.

  Availableat:

http://www.americanortho.com/downloads/ifu/IFU\_DistalJetInstr\_ENG.pdf. Accessed: January 10, 2013.

Date of submission: 04 April 2014 Date of Publication: 28 June 2014

Source of support: Nil Conflict of Interest: Nil

Website: www.ijbamr.com