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Are antiseptics and disinfectants commonly used against methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus effective? A study in a tertiary care hospital
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Abstract:

Introduction: Strains sensitive to beta-lactam antibiotics are called methicillin sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) and strains resistant to beta-lactams are defined as methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). The acquisition of extra gene has rendered them to be named as one of the serious emergent pathogens in the hospital environment. The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy of eight antiseptics and disinfectants used commonly against MRSA and MSSA in a tertiary care hospital.

Methods: Few of the commonly used disinfectants were tested against isolated strains of MRSA and MSSA from clinical samples at the stock strength and up to its 16th fold dilution according to the methods recommended in CLSI guidelines. The antiseptics and disinfectants used were 10% povidone iodine, 4% chlorhexidine gluconate solution, 4.8% chloroxylenol dettol, 2% lysol, 2% glutaraldehyde, 1% sodium hypochlorite solution, 2% liquid phenol, chlorophenol, each in different dilutions for both MRSA and MSSA strains.

Observations and Results: Chloroxylenol and chlorhexidine gluconate were most effective disinfectants on MRSA and MSSA strains, followed by povidone iodine, lysol, phenyl chlorophenol. While interestingly 1% sodium hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde showed full resistance against both the strains. The most important finding being resistance of all the MRSA isolates against 2% liquid phenol but uniquely this is effective on MSSA isolates.

Conclusion: The wide spread use of these products in the hospital environment has prompted some speculation that these they can induce resistance gene in the microbes to be propagated to the hospital environment.
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Introduction:

Sterilization is a process by which all forms of microbial life are destroyed. It is an absolute term whereas disinfection refers only to the destruction of pathological flora. Chemicals used to destroy all forms of microbiological life, can be called chemical sterilants. They can be used for shorter exposure periods as part of the disinfection process [1]. Antiseptics and disinfectants are part and parcel of hospital infection control management policy. Th-ese
are extensively used in hospital and other health care setups for a variety of topical and surface application. A wide variety of active chemical agent [biocides] are found in these products. Many of which have been used for hundreds of years including alcohol, phenol, iodine, chlorine. Though most of these active agents demonstrate broad spectrum antimicrobial activity, the mode of their action is still evolving in comparison to antibiotics. The wide spread use of these products has shortly induced the development of microbial resistance towards them. ‘Biocide’ can act as ‘cidal’ and ‘static’ form for microbes.Antiseptics are biocides that destroy or inhibit growth of microorganisms on living tissue, mainly used for hand washes and surgical scrubs. Alcohol is used for sterilizing skin whereas povidone iodine is applied on skin before any operating maneuvers. The different disinfectants and antiseptics used in this study were 10% w/v povidone iodine, 4% chlorhexidine gluconate solution, 4.8% w/v chloroxylenol (dettol), 2% lysol (cresol 50% v/v + soap 50% v/v), 2% glutaraldehyde, 1% sodium hypochlorite solution, 2% liquid phenol (carbolic acid), chlorophenol 5% v/v (phenyl liquid/black disinfectant fluid/per-fenol). Phenolics (carbolic acid) which are intermediate level disinfectants act as bactericidal, fungicidal, virucidal, sporicidal with the help of its activated iodine. Other phenol derivatives like chlorhexidine gluconate and chloroxylenol damages cell membrane irreversibly. Among the two high level disinfectants 1% sodium hypochlorite acts as bactericidal and sporidical interfering in the cytoplasmic membrane integrity with an irreversible enzymatic inhibition and 2% glutaraldehyde alters RNA, DNA, and protein synthesis. Chlorop-henol 5% V/V (black fluid) which is a low level disinfectant inhibits the membrane bound part of the electron transport chain, and also induces leakage, causes protoplast lysis, and inhibits respiration.

Aims and objectives: To assess the efficacy of eight antiseptics and disinfectants used commonly against MRS-A and MSSA in a tertiary care hospital.

Materials and methods: It is a laboratory based interventional study conducted during one year period. This study focuses on Staphylococcus aureus with its MRSA and MSSA variants. Pure cultures of MRSA and MSSA were isolated from various clinical samples received in bacteriology laboratory in the Department of Microbiology in B.S.M.C.H. The test organisms were identified to the species level based on standard microbiological methods such as culture characteristics, cellular characteristics (microscopic examination) and biochemical tests, and susceptibility patterns were identified as per CLSI guidelines. Strains were differentiated into individual isolates according to their different resistogram patterns. The antiseptic and disinfectant solutions were procured from different manufacturing companies and they were purchased as hospital supplied. Phenol / carbolic acid (80% w/v) was from Indian Drug House, Sonarpur, 24 Pgs, West Bengal whereas hypochlorite solution (4%) was from Stan...
Bio Reagent Pvt. Ltd, Kolkata. Both lysol and Chlorophenol (5% V/V) were manufactured by P. H. Laboratory, Madhyagram. 2% glutaraldehyde and chloroxylenol (dettol) were manufactured from D. P. Enterprise, Kolkata and from Hootagali Ind area, Mysore respectively. The source of povidone iodine was Win-Medicare Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. Lastly the manufacturer of the chlorhexidine gluconate was 3M India Limited, Ranjangaon, Pune. All these disinfectants and antiseptics had been used according to the procedure as per recommended by the manufacturer. The antimicrobial activities of these tested agents were measured against all the isolates of MRSA and MSSA by disc diffusion method and minimum inhibitory concentration method.

**Susceptibility testing by disc diffusion method:** For each antiseptic and disinfectant 6mm discs were prepared following standard protocol, where Whatman no 1 filter paper had been used to absorb approximately the whole volume of one drop (0.01ml) from micropipette. After impregnation, discs were dried by leaving them in an incubator for 2hrs. For each of the eight different types of disinfectants and antiseptics discs were prepared up to 1-6th fold of dilution. Disc diffusion methods of susceptibility testing was performed following standard method of Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method as per CLSI guideline 2015 where standardisation of the bacterial suspension was done by adjusting the turbidity with 0.5Mc Farland standard (i.e., 1.5 x 10⁸ CFU/mL).

**Susceptibility testing by minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method:** This MIC test was determined by broth microdilution technique according to the method recommended in CLSI guidelines 2012 depending on the turbidity of the bacterial growth in overnight culture suspensions of individual bacterial isolate as measured by colorimeter in terms of optical value. The MIC was recorded as the lowest concentration of the tested disinfectant/antiseptic that will inhibit the visible growth of the organism or that prevents bacteria under test to grow after overnight incubation.

**Observations and Results:** In order to assess the efficiency of commonly used antiseptics and disinfectants in various fields of healthcare setup, eight of them were tested towards the two emerging strains of MRSA and MSSA. Results are depicted in table (1) and table (2).

**Results of disc diffusion method:** If the zone of inhibitions were compared between MRSA and MSSA for the eight disinfectants and antiseptics they were (8-14)mm and (9-19)mm; (9-25)mm and (10-19)mm; (11-26)mm and (14-26)mm; (7-23)mm and (10-25)mm; (17-18)mm and (7-28)mm for povidone-iodine [Figure 1], black disinfectant fluid [Figure 2], chlorhexidine gluconate [Figure 3], lysol [Figure 4], chloroxylenol [Figure 5] respectively. Secondly, as found in the disc diffusion method the doses of resistance for the tested disinfectants and anti-septics for MRSA and MSSA were 12.5mg/ml and 6.5mg/ml; 8mg/ml and 16mg/ml; 130mg/ml and 65mg/ml; 6mg/ml and 1.5mg/ml for povidone-iodine, blackfluid, lysol and chloroxylenol respectively. Chlorhexidine gluconate showed full susceptibility against both MRSA and MSSA strains till its 16th fold dilutions with a variable zone of inhibition. 2% liquid phenol was established as totally resistant against MRSA whereas for MSSA only the stock strength i.e. 20mg/ml showed susceptibility and rest all the dilutions came to be resistant [Figure 6]. 1% hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde expressed their full resistant for both MRSA and MSSA strains even at their stock strengths interestingly.

**Results of minimum inhibitory concentration method:** Comparision of the MIC values between MRSA and MSSA for the eight tested disinfectants showed values were 2.5mg/ml, 12.5mg/ml,
33mg/ml in case of chlorhexidine gluconate, povidone-iodine and lysol respectively. Whereas MIC values of the phenyl chlorophenol liquid for MRSA and MSSA it was 16mg/ml and 8mg/ml respectively. Chloroxylenol (dettol) showed full susceptibility even at its 16th dilution. In MIC method 2% liquid phenol revealed the complete resistance against MRSA but showed MIC value of 20mg/ml for MSSA strains. In case of 1% hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde both MRSA and MSSA showed complete resistance even at their original stock strengths as depicted in MIC procedure.

In this short study the tested isolates of MRSA and MSSA were selected depending on their significant recurrence in nosocomial infection (nosocomial infection with MRSA is of 16%) Comparision of the results of the disc diffusion method itself, showed hospital supplied commercial povidone iodine (10% w/v), lysol (cresol + soap solution), chlorhexidine gluconate, chloroxylenol (dettol), blackfluid (chlorophenyl) were variably susceptible against both MRSA and MSSA strains. It varied not only with the type of disinfectants but also with the different concentrations of each disinfectant. For an individual isolate and for a particular type of disinfectant’s strength MSSA showed larger zone size in comparison to MRSA in case of povidone-iodine, lysol, dettol, chlorhexidine gluconate. Only in phenyl blackfluid zone size of MSSA remains unchanged in comparison to MRSA. Di-sc diffusion also showed that for all the disinfectants including povidone-iodine, lysol, chlorhexine gluconate, c-hloroxylenol (dettol), 2% liquid phenol showed resistant to MRSA at a lower concentration (higher dilution) in comparison to MSSA for each of the strengths. Only the blackfluid/ phenyl chlorophenol showed the alteration. Among the eight disinfectants comparative results of the MIC values showed MSSA strains having lesser MIC values than that of MRSA in case of blackfluid and 2% liquid phenol. Rest all the disinfectants were having the same MIC values for both MRSA and MSSA strains.

Table no. 1 shows variability of sizes of zone (mm) of inhibition in 8 different disinfectants and antiseptics (ave-rage values of 10 MRSA and 5 MSSA strains were calculated) concluded complete resistance in both MRSA and MSSA against 2% glutaraldehyde and 1% hypochlorite while both the strains were resistant against 2% liquid phenol except MSSA is susceptible to the stock strength of 2% liquid phenol only. Among rest of the 5 disinfectants MSSA showed a higher range of zone of inhibition in comparison to MRSA. Considering 10 MRSA strains and 5 MSSA strains positive control for MRSA and MSSA were 1.82-1.84 and 1.87-1.9 respectively. Negative control for povidone iodine, chlorhexidine gluconate, dettol, blackfluid, 1% hypochlorite, 2% glutaraldehyde, lysol and 2% phenol were 0.3, 0.12, 0.24, 1.11, 1.2, 1.0, 0.33, 1.0 respectively. Table no 2 showing variability of the optical values for 8 different disinfectants and antiseptics against MRSA and MSSA strains (average of 10 different MRSA and 5 different MSSA taken) determined the MIC values of lysol, povidine iodine, chlorhexidine gluconate as 33mg/ml, 12.5mg/ml, 2.5mg/ml. Dettol showing susceptibility in all strengths. Blackfluid having MIC at 16mg/ml for MRSA and 8mg/ml for MSSA.1% hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde both were showing full resistance for MRSA and MSSA where 2% liquid phenol showed the only sensitivity at the stock strength for MSSA.

Discussion:

Disinfectants are usually tested against Salmonella typhi in Rideal-Walker coefficient test but with an emergenc-e of resistant strains of different types of bacterial isolates it requires that a continuous
evaluation should be done for emerging and emergent organisms like ESBL, MRSA etc. The present study shows the emergence of resistance of MRSA to commonly used disinfectants specially in hospital environment being invaded by 16% of M-RSA. So this is required that antiseptics and disinfectants should be evaluated in this light. This study results show chloroxylenol (dettol) and chlorhexidine gluconate as the two maximally effective disinfectants against Staphylococcus aureus. Least susceptibility was found toward 1% hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde solution. 2% hospital supplied liquid phenol(carbolic acid) is better suited for MSSA than MRSA. One of the previous studies where both disc diffusion and broth dilution method were used for study the efficacy of disinfectants and antiseptics results of MIC method showed chlorhexidine/hibitane as the most effective disinfectant on MRSA followed by chloroxylenol, hydrogen peroxide, sodium hypochlorite, formaldehyde, sodium dichloroisocyanurate and povidone-iodine, while chlorhexidine cetramide (savlon) showed no efficacy against MRSA[9]. Some other study result established povidoneiodine antiseptic solution as the most rapid bacteriocidal and having maximum potency till date where both chlorhexidine and hexachlorophene have persistent antibacterial effects even at low concentration[10]. Another study from West Bengal, that was done on testing the sensitivity of MRSA and MSSA to different antiseptics and disinfectants concluded that all the MRSA and MSSA were sensitive to dettol, lysol, chlorhexidine and 1% hypochlorite solution whereas 15% MSSA with 29% of MRSA were resistant to betadine and 68% MSSA with (46-55)% MRSA had growth in presence of phenol[11]. Limitation of this study was that the susceptibility pattern of the disinfectants were tested against one bacterial agent named Staphylococcus aureus only.

**Conclusion:**

Increasing prevalence (>16%) of MRSA infection in the hospital care environment has become an emerging problem worldwide. The antiseptics and disinfectants are part of cleaning maneuvers in different high risk areas like emergency ward, operation theatre, ICCU, ITU etc. In the system of hospital infection control it is essential to make the hospital atmosphere absolutely free from dangerous organism like MRSA. In this study certain commonly used antiseptics and disinfectants were selected for hospital infection control purposes to see their affect on MSSA and MRSA. Therefore inability to kill multi-drug resistant organisms like MRSA, MSSA even by two such high level disinfectants (1% hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde) and also by another intermediate level disinfectant 2% liquid p-henol carries an increased risk of occurrence of uncontrolled severe infections and its transmission in health care set up. Regular follow up of the patients with their infection profile may uncover this fact. Disinfectants are often misused and rationalization of their use in hospitals is desirable for control of infections. Therefore, in health care settings with high prevalence of MRSA 4.8% chloroxylenol (dettol) & 4% chlorhexidine gluconate solution are the most effective disinfectants to avoid cross contamination in the hospitalized environment. Therefore in this modern era of antibiotics where invention of newer antibiotics having alternative mode of action against bacteria is seeking the major attention, findings of this short scientific study would pave the way of controlling the infections at its root level with the help of effective and suitable disinfectants. This study requires a further study to prove the efficacy of antiseptics and disinfectants toward another emerging pathogen like ES-BL.
Table no. 1: Disc diffusion method for selected disinfectants and antiseptics against MRSA and MSSA isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysol</th>
<th>Povidone iodine</th>
<th>Chlorhexidine gluconate</th>
<th>Chloroxyle (Dettol)</th>
<th>Blackfluid</th>
<th>1% hypochlorite</th>
<th>2% glutaryldehide</th>
<th>2% liquid phenol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dilutions</td>
<td>Concentration g/mL</td>
<td>Zone of inhibition mm</td>
<td>Zone of inhibition mm</td>
<td>Zone of inhibition mm</td>
<td>Zone of inhibition mm</td>
<td>Zone of inhibition mm</td>
<td>Zone of inhibition mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 2</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>12/6</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 2</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>10/6</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 4</td>
<td>6/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>8/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 8</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>9/0</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 16</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>8/1</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 32</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table no. 2: Minimum inhibitory concentration for selected disinfectants and antiseptics against MRSA and MSSA isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysol</th>
<th>Povidone iodine</th>
<th>Chlorhexidine gluconate</th>
<th>Chloroxyle (Dettol)</th>
<th>Blackfluid</th>
<th>1% hypochlorite</th>
<th>2% glutaryldehide</th>
<th>2% liquid phenol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dilutions</td>
<td>Concentration g/mL</td>
<td>Optic density value</td>
<td>Optical density value</td>
<td>Optical density value</td>
<td>Optical density value</td>
<td>Optical density value</td>
<td>Optical density value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 2</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>12/6</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 2</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>10/6</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 4</td>
<td>6/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>8/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 8</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>9/0</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 16</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>8/1</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D / 32</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figures: Bar graphs showing the zone of inhibition (in mm) of the selected antiseptics and disinfectants toward MRSA and MSSA with their stock strengths (D) and 16th fold serial dilutions (D/2-D/32).
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